

Carcass Characteristics and Meat Chemical Composition of Japanese Quails Fed Diet Supplemented With Dietary Enzyme

Kamelia M. Zahran, Nasser E. khedr, Tahia E. Ahmed, FathyA. Esmaeil and Ahmed E. Shehab

Department of Nutrition and Clinical Nutrition, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Moshtohor, Toukh, Qaluobia, Egypt

ABSTRACT

To evaluate the effects of dietary enzyme supplementation on carcass characteristics and chemical composition of meat of Japanese quails, a total of two hundred forty healthy Japanese quails of mixed sexes were arranged into four dietary treatments. The first group of chick was considered as control group and fed the basal diet without supplementation of dietary enzyme. Second group was fed basal diet supplemented with 0.5g Kemzyme plus dry[®]/kg diet. Third group was fed basal diet supplemented with 0.1g phytase/kg diet. Fourth group was fed basal diet supplemented with Kemzyme[®] plus phytase. Carcass characteristics in term of dressing percentage, breast yield percentage, gizzard, spleen, liver and heart weight percentages of carcass weight were not affected significantly by dietary enzyme supplementation, but addition of enzyme increase significantly ($P<0.05$) the intestinal length. The crude protein percentage of meat increased significantly ($P<0.05$) by the addition of enzyme to diet and fat percent of meat decreased slightly by enzyme supplementation.

Key words: Japanese quails; carcass characteristics; dietary enzyme

Corresponding author: Ahmed E. Shehab. Department of Nutrition and Clinical nutrition, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Moshtohour, Toukh, Qaluobia Egypt

INTRODUCTION

Corn-soybean meal contains high nutritional value, however, soybean meal contains oligosaccharide that has been shown to decrease bird health and growth (Iji and Tivey, 1998). There is strong evidence that some nutrients in corn are not completely digested in the small intestine and considerable amounts of starch and protein escape digestion, reach the midgut and undergo fermentation with a relatively low energy yield (Noy and Sklan, 1995). Non-starch polysaccharides (NSP) comprises about 70-90% of the plant cell wall (Knudsen, 2001). Moreover, the NSPs gel may act as a physical barrier between substrates, enzymes and digestion end-products (Petterson and Aman, 1989). NSP may cause disturbance of intestinal microflora (Preston *et al.*, 2001).

Enzymes degrading complex compounds such as cellulases and other NSPs are not secreted in digestive systems of poultry. For this reason, poultry cannot make use NSPs in feeds in high amount efficiently (Annison, 1995). Supplementation of NSPs degrading enzymes may not only reduce the anti-nutritive effects of NSPs, but also releases some nutrients from these, which could be utilized by the birds (Balamurugan and Chandrasekaran, 2009). Dietary supplementation of multi-enzyme improves nutritive value of corn-soyabean diet in broiler chicks (Shirmohammad and Mehri, 2011). The anti-nutritive effect is manifested by depressed nutrient utilization accompanied by poor growth. This adverse effect can be

overcome by supplementation of exogenous carbohydrase (xylanase) enzymes which have been shown to lower viscosity of intestinal contents and to improve digestibility of starch, protein, fat and apparent metabolisable energy (AME) in broilers fed on diets containing wheat (Annison and Choct 1991; Bedford, 1995).

Phytate has the potential to bind with proteins at low and neutral pH which reduces protein digestibility (Ready *et al.*, 1982). Phytate, being a strong acid, can also form salts with important minerals thereby reducing their solubility (Eardman, 1979). The addition of the exogenous enzyme phytase has been used to reduce the pollutant residues, through improving the utilization of phytate-bound minerals in pig and poultry diets and decreasing the use of inorganic sources (Zanini and Sazzard, 1999). Phytase improves the digestibility of essential amino acids, crude protein and nitrogen retention (Zhang *et al.*, 2000; Ravindran *et al.*, 2001; Sharlie, 2005). The aim of this study was to find the effect of enzyme supplementation on the carcass characteristics of Japanese quails.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted at the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha, University to investigate the effect of dietary enzyme supplementation as feed additive for quail chicks on carcass characteristics and meat chemical composition. Two types of dietary enzymes were used (Kemzyme plus dry[®] and Phytase). A total of

two hundred forty healthy Japanese quails of both sexes were randomly allocated to four dietary treatment groups. Each treatment group contained 60 birds which were allotted into three replicates, each replicate contained 20 birds. The first group of chick was considered as control group and fed the basal diet without supplementation of any dietary enzyme, while the other three groups were fed on the basal diet supplemented with dietary enzyme as described in Table 1. Feed and water were provided *ad libitum*. Nutrient requirements of the rations were determined according National Research Council (NRC, 1994).

At the end of experiment (45 days of age), 2 birds were chosen randomly from each replicate (total 6 birds from each dietary treatment group) were slaughtered to complete bleeding after fasting for 12 hours, carcass without feather percentage, carcass without leg and head, dressing percentage, breast yield percentage were determined. Gizzard, heart, liver and spleen were removed, weighed and expressed as a percentage of live body weight.

Intestinal length and width were recorded. Analysis of quail chick's meat was performed using the standard methods according to AOAC (2002). The chemical analysis included the moisture, dry matter, ether extract, crude protein, and ash in each sample from all groups. Data obtained throughout the trial were analysed using SPSS version 10 (2000) pocket programme and difference between the averages were examined by Duncans multiple-range test (Duncan, 1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present data in Table 2 showed that there were insignificant differences in carcass weight without legs and head, dressing percentage, breast percentage, heart, and gizzard, liver, spleen weights among dietary treatments. These results were similar to Arumbackam *et al.* (2004) who found that carcass characteristics in terms of blood loss, feather loss, eviscerated carcass, giblet, lower gizzard, small intestinal length and caecal length did not differ significantly either due to enzyme supplementation in quails. These results are supported with Hana *et al.* (2010) who stated that carcass characteristics showed no significant effects on whole carcass weight and/or dressing percent, weight and percent of breast, thighs and wings. While no significant differences were reported for heart, gizzard and abdominal fat pad. These results are harmony with other reports (Biswas *et al.*, 1999; Kidd *et al.*, 2001; Saleh *et al.*, 2005; Hang *et al.*, 2008) while these result were in disagreement with Jamroz *et al.* (1996) and Wang *et al.* (2005) who observed that exogenous dietary enzyme supplementation increased meat yield of broilers significantly. Enzyme supplementation had no effect on the relative weights of all organs (Kongbuntad *et al.*, 2006; Hajati *et al.*, 2009).

The present data in the Table 4 showed that there was significant ($P<0.05$) increase in intestinal length of all dietary treatment when compared with control group. Concerning small intestinal diameter, the present data showed that there was significant ($P<0.05$) increase in diameter in group supplemented with Kemzyme® plus

phytase when compared with control group. This may be attributed to carbohydrase enzymes which improve nutrient digestion and absorption (Bedford and Apajalahti, 2001). Our results were similar to finding of Junior (2005) who fed the birds phospholipase-supplemented diet resulted in the greatest intestinal weight and length. On the other hand these results disagree with Santos *et al.* (2004) who stated that endoxylanase supplementation resulted in the lowest relative intestinal length and weight along with the lowest viscosities, but highest body weight.

Meat chemical composition

The analysis of variance of obtained data in the Table 5 showed that there was insignificant difference between all dietary treatment groups in moisture percent and dry matter of meat. It was observed that these results were similar to Hajati *et al.* (2009) who found that dietary enzyme supplementation had no significant effect on moisture and dry matter percentage of meat but disagree with Hana *et al.* (2010) who found that addition of enzyme to diet of broiler lead to significant increase of dry matter percentage of meat.

The analysis of variance of obtained data from the Table 5 showed that there was significant increase ($P<0.05$) in crude protein percentage of group supplemented with Kemzyme® plus phytase and Kemzymeplus dry® when compared with control group. It was observed from the obtained result that the lowest percentage of crude protein of meat was for control group. This may be due to the improved digestion coefficient of crude protein. Enzyme supplementation in birds improved the AID apparent ileal digestibility of protein and amino acids (Ravindran *et al.*, 2007), also feed enzymes have the ability to alter the bacterial population by digesting the bacteria that colonize the tract and increase the quantity of protein digested in the pre cecal section of the tract (Bedford, 1997; Gunal and Yasar, 2004).

The analysis of variance of obtained data from the Table 5 showed that there was insignificant decrease in ether extract percentage of Japanese quails fed on diet supplemented with dietary enzyme when compared with control group. It was observed that the lowest percentage of ether extract was for chicks supplemented with Kemzyme® plus dry and the highest percentage of ether extract of meat was found in the control group. These results are in agreement with Hssan *et al.* (2011) who found that the supplementation of dietary enzyme slightly reduced the fat deposition in broilers. These results were in harmony with Szymczyk *et al.* (2007) who found that addition of enzyme caused a decrease of fat content in chicken meat ($P<0.05$) but no significant differences in fat content between experimental and control birds were found. Also these results were in agreement with other reports (Pishnamazi and Pourreza 1999; Youssef *et al.*, 2011), who found that enzyme supplementation decreased adnominal fat. Decreased fat in meat due to dietary enzyme supplementation produced meat low in fat content and consequently makes the meat healthy for human.

Data present in Table 5 showed that there was significant decrease in ash percentage of quail's meat supplemented with Kemzyme plus dry and Kemzyme plus phytase when compared with control and other treatment groups. These results were supported by the findings of

Table 1: Experimental design

Groups	Number of birds	Diets	Supplemented levels of dietary enzyme (g /kg diet)	
			Kemzyme plus dry [®]	Phytase
T1 (Control)	60	Basal diet	-----	-----
T2	60	Basal diet	0.5 g Kemzyme plus dry [®] /Kg diet	-----
T3	60	Basal diet	-----	0.1 gPhytase /Kg diet
T4	60	Basal diet	0.5 g Kemzyme plus dry [®] /Kg diet	0.1 gPhytase /Kg diet

Table 2: The effect of dietary enzyme supplementation on dressing weight, breast yield percentage, Carcass Weight without feather (percentage of live weight), Carcass Weight without head and feet, gizzard and heart Wight (percentage of live weight) (means ± SE)

Parameters	T1	T2	T3	T4
Carcass Weight without feather (%)	92.47± 0.75 ^a	90.83± 0.32 ^b	91.85± 0.28 ^{ab}	92.71± 0.35 ^a
Carcass Weight without legs and head (%)	83.85± 0.87 ^a	83.08± 0.67 ^a	84.25± 0.20 ^a	83.99± 0.58 ^a
Dressing (%)	69.26± 0.92 ^a	70.24± 0.72 ^a	70.70± 0.17 ^a	70.18± 0.57 ^a
Breast muscles yield (%)	23.99± 0.81 ^a	23.88± 1.19 ^a	23.90± 0.69 ^a	22.34± 0.75 ^a
Gizzard weight (%)	1.82± 0.16 ^a	1.67± 0.056 ^a	1.83± 0.078 ^a	1.79± 0.065 ^a
Heart weight (%)	0.960± 0.067 ^a	0.952± 0.076 ^a	0.916± 0.07 ^a	0.984± 0.059 ^a

^{a, b, c} Mean values having different letters in a column differ significantly (P<0.05)

Table 3: The effect of dietary enzyme supplementation on organs weights proportion to live body weight of Japanese quail (means ± SE)

Parameters	T1	T2	T3	T4
Liver weight %	1.78± 0.04 ^a	1.67± 0.06 ^a	1.824± 0.09 ^a	1.83± 0.09 ^a
Spleen weight %	0.057± 0.001 ^a	0.056± 0.002 ^a	0.060± 0.00 ^a	0.058± 0.001 ^a

^{a, b, c} Mean values having different letters in a column differ significantly (P<0.05)

Table 4: The effect of dietary enzyme supplementation on length and width of the small intestine (centimeters) of Japanese quail (means ± SE)

Parameters	T1	T2	T3	T4
Small intestine length (cm)	51.00± 1.30 ^b	60.00± 3.50 ^a	58.00± 0.70 ^a	60.80± 2.39 ^a
Small intestine diameters (cm)	0.76± 0.05 ^b	0.82± 0.037 ^b	0.88± 0.02 ^{ab}	0.94± 0.024 ^a

^{a, b, c} Mean values having different letters in a column differ significantly (P<0.05)

Table 5: The effect of dietary enzyme supplementation on meat chemical composition of of Japanese quail (means ±SE)

Parameters (%)	T1	T2	T3	T4
Moisture	74.03± 0.38 ^a	74.80± 0.23 ^a	74.36± 0.24 ^a	74.56± 0.26 ^a
Dry Matter	25.97± 0.38 ^a	25.20± 0.23 ^a	25.64± 0.24 ^a	25.44± 0.26 ^a
Crude protein	18.66± 0.26 ^b	20.10± 0.32 ^a	19.53± 0.34 ^{ab}	20.00± 0.20 ^a
Ether extract	2.03± 0.26 ^a	1.33± 0.23 ^a	1.53± 0.20 ^a	1.46± 0.27 ^a
Ash	2.66± 0.26 ^a	1.73± 0.18 ^b	1.96± 0.20 ^{ab}	1.83± 0.26 ^b

^{a, b, c} Mean values having different letters in a column differ significantly (P<0.05)

Hana *et al.* (2010) who stated that ash percent for thigh meat significantly (P<0.05) decreased. A significant reduction in ash concentration in the carcass of Japanese quails receiving Kemzyme plus dry and Kemzyme plus phytase is consistent with work reported by Olukosi *et al.* (2008).

Conclusion

As shown in the present findings that adding enzyme to corn-soybean diet had no significant effect on carcass characteristics, dry matter and moisture of meat of Japanese quails. Enzyme can be added to quail diet lead to increase crude protein of meat and slightly decrease in fat of meat.

REFERENCES

- Annisson G and M Choct, 1991. Anti-nutritive activities of cereals non-starch polysaccharides in broiler diets and strategies minimizing their effects. *World's Poult Sci J*, 47: 232-242.
- Annisson G, 1995. Feed enzyme - The science future developments and practical aspects in feed formulation. 10th European Symposium on Poultry Nutrition, Antalya, Turkey. Pp: 193-201.
- AOAC, 2002. Official methods of analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C.
- Arumbackam VE, AB Mandal, PK Tyagi, S Toppo and TS Johri, 2004. Effects of enzymes in diets with varying energy levels on growth and egg production performance of Japanese quail. *J Sci Food Agri*, 84:2028–2034.
- Balamurugan R and D Chandrasekaran, 2009. Effect of multienzyme supplementation on weight gain, feed intake, feed efficiency and blood glucose in broiler chickens. *Indi J Sci Technol*, 3: 193-195.
- Bedford MR and J Apajalahti, 2001. Microbial interactions in the response to exogenous enzyme utilization, in: Bedford MR and G. G. Patridge, (editors), *Enzymes in Farm Animal Nutrition*. CABI Publishing., Oxon, UK, Pp: 299–314.
- Bedford MR, 1995. Mechanism of action and potential environmental benefits from the use of feed enzymes. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*, 53: 145-155.
- Bedford MR, 1997. Reduced viscosity of intestinal digesta and enhanced nutrient digestibility in chicken given exogenous enzymes. In: Marquardt R and H Zhengkang, (editors), *Enzymes in poultry and swine nutrition*. IDRC Publication. Pp: 19-27.

- Biswas T, L Mandal and SK Sarker, 1999. Studies of enzymes supplementation and herbal preparation at different levels of energy on the performance of broilers. *J Inter academia*, 3: 53-58.
- Duncan DB, 1955. Multiple range and multiple F-test. *Biometrics*, 11: 1-42.
- Eardman JW, 1979. Oil seed phytates nutritional implications. *J Am Oil Chem Soc*, 56: 736-741.
- Gunal M and S Yasar, 2004. Performance and some digesta parameters of broiler chickens given low or high viscosity wheat based diets with or without enzyme supplementation. *Turk J Vet Anim Sci*, 28: 223-327.
- Hajati H, M Rezaei and H Sayyahzadeh, 2009. The Effects of enzyme supplementation on performance, carcass characteristics and some blood parameters of broilers fed on corn-soybean meal-wheat diets. *Inte J Poult Sci*, 8 (12): 1199-1205.
- Hana AH, MA Jalal and MA Abu Ishmais, 2010. The influence of supplemental multi-enzyme feed additive on the performance, carcass characteristics and meat quality traits of broiler chickens. *Int J Poult Sci*, 9: 126-133.
- Hang M T, PP Cong, NT Xuan, TH Phong, PC Thieu and NT Dat, 2008. Efficacy of hydrolytic enzyme preparation from *aspergillus* spp. on the fattening broiler chicken fed with corn soybean based diets. *Inter J Poult Sci*, 7 (10): 984-989.
- Hassan HM, AW Youssef and MA Mohamed 2011. Using commercial enzyme preparations in male and female broilers fed low energy diets. *Egypt J Anim prod*, 48: 247-259.
- Iji PA and DR Tivey, 1998. Natural and synthetic oligosaccharides in broiler chicken diets. *Worlds Poult Sci J*, 54:129-143.
- Jamroz D, J Skorupinska, J Orda, AWiliczkie-wicz and L Volker, 1996. The effect of increased Roxazyme-G supplementation in the broiler fed with triticale rich mixture. *Archiv fur Geflugekunde*, 60: 165-173.
- Junior, AA, 2005. Poultry intestinal health through diet formulation and exogenous enzyme supplementation. Ph.D Dissertation, Graduate Faculty of North Carolina State University.
- Kidd MT, GW Morgan and CJ Price, 2001. Enzyme supplementation to corn and soybean meal diets for broilers. *J Appl Poult Res*, 10: 65-70.
- Knudsen KE, 2001. The nutritional significance of "dietary fibre" analysis. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*, 90:3-20.
- Kongbuntad W, C Khanongnuch and S Lumyong, 2006. Efficacy of xylanase supplementation produced from *Thermoascus aurantiacus* SL 16 W in diet on Thai native chicken performance. *Int J Poult Sci*, 5: 463-469.
- National Research Council, 1994. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. 9th edition. National Academic Press. Washington D.C.
- Noy Y and D Sklan, 1995. Digestion and absorption in the young chick. *Poult Sci*, 74: 366-373.
- Olukosi OA, AJ Coweison and O Adeola, 2008. Influence of enzyme supplementation of maize-soybean meal diets on carcass composition, whole-body nutrient accretion and total tract nutrient retention of broilers. *Br Poult Sci*, 49: 436-445.
- Petterson D and P Aman, 1989. Enzyme supplementation of a poultry diet containing rye and wheat. *Br J Nutr*, 62:139-149.
- Pishnamazi A and J Pourreza, 1999. The effects of barely instead of corn with and without enzyme for broiler chickens. *J Science and Technology of Agriculture and Natural Resources Water and Soil Sci*, 3:75-91.
- Preston CM, KJ McCracken and MR Bedford, 2001. Effect of wheat content, fat source and enzyme supplementation on diet metabolisability and broiler performance. *Br Poult Sci*, 42:625-632.
- Ravindran V, PH Selle, G Ravindran, PC Morel, V Kies and WL Bryden, 2001. Microbial phytase improves performance, apparent metabolizable energy and ileal amino acid digestibility of broilers fed a lysine deficient diet. *Poult Sci*, 80: 338-344.
- Rdranavin V, ZV Tilman, PC Morel, G Ravindran, GD Coles, 2007. Influence of β -glucanase supplementation on the metabolisable energy and ileal nutrient digestibility of normal starch and waxy barleys for broiler chickens. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*, 134: 45-55.
- Ready NR, SA Sathe and DK Smunkhe, 1982. Phytates in legumes and cereal. *Adv Food Res*, 28: 1-91.
- Saleh F, M Tahir, AOhtsuka and K Hayashi, 2005. A mixture of pure cellulose, hemicellulase and pectinase improves broiler performance. *Bri Poult Sci*, 46: 602-606.
- Santos AA, PR Ferket, JL Grime and FW Edens, 2004. Dietary supplementation of endoxylanase and phospholipase for turkeys fed wheat-based rations. *Int J Poult Sci*, 3: 20-32.
- Sharlie, HM, 2005. Phytase: improving performance and digestibility. Hubbard Feeds Inc. Nutr Manag Guides.
- Shirmohammad F and M Mehri, 2011. Effects of dietary supplementation of multi-enzyme complex on the energy utilization in rooster and performance of broiler chicks. *Afr J Biotechnol*, 10:7541-7547.
- SPSS, 2000. SPSS Base for Windows, Chicago, IL, USA.
- Szymczyk B, P Hanczakowski, W Szczurek and MF Rys-Żurek, 2007. Effect of naked oat and enzymes in diets for broiler chickens on quality, fatty acid profile and oxidative stability of breast muscle. *Poli J Food Nutr Sci*, 57: 541-545.
- Wang ZR, SY Qiao, WQ Lu and DF Li, 2005. Effects of enzyme supplementation on performance, nutrient digestibility, gastrointestinal morphology, and volatile fatty acid profiles in the hindgut of broilers fed wheat-based diets. *Poult Sci*, 84: 875- 881.
- Youssef Aw, HM Hassan, HM Ali and MA Mohamed, 2011. Performance, abdominal fat and economic efficiency of broilers fed different energy levels supplemented with xylanase and amylase from 14 to 40 days of age. *World J Agri Sci*, 7: 291-297.
- Zanini SF and MH Sazzad, 1999. Effects of microbial phytase on growth and mineral utilization in broilers fed on maize soybean-based diets. *Br Poult Sci*, 40: 348-352.
- Zhang ZB, ET Kornegay, JS Radcliffe, DM Denbow, HP Veit and CT Larsen, 2000. Comparison of genetically engineered microbial and plant phytase for young broilers. *Poult Sci*, 79: 709-717.